Message

From: Hodgkiss, Miranda [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=9D441DDB44AC4ED486058D2C2690B977-HODGKISS, MIRANDA]

Sent: 10/1/2018 11:29:14 PM

To: Croxton, David [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=ca7b9940863640d5b96f4295ea3c9641-Croxton, Dave]

Subject: RE: reply letter

Attachments: Response to Hladick ltr from CLIPA.DOCX

Hi Dave,

I have accepted your suggestions, and think the letter is ready for Dan's review. Since we got the two letters at once (one to Dan and one to Chris), I'm assuming we are only planning to respond to the one addressed to Chris? Should we acknowledge the one to Dan too, either in this letter or in a separate one?

I heard back from Teresa Kubo about the EIS (who works on OR, but knows about the WA process), and this is what she said: "This looks like an EIS being prepared under Washington's State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). We aren't required to comment on SEPA projects (just NEPA) but we do try to track them and weigh in as appropriate."

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Thanks,

Miranda Hodgkiss
Office of Water and Watersheds
U.S. EPA Region 10
(206) 553-0692
hodgkiss.miranda@epa.gov

From: Croxton, David

Sent: Monday, September 24, 2018 2:06 PM

To: Hodgkiss, Miranda < Hodgkiss. Miranda@epa.gov>

Subject: reply letter

Hi Miranda,

Good letter, thanks. I rearranged your material some and cut-out some specifics (glad you included them so we can decide if we want to be specific or not). You'll see I ask if you can follow up on EIS question. Then let's finalize letter and send to Dan for review.

Thank you